DILLON DEUSTACE

DUBLIN CAYMAN ISLANDS NEW YORK TOKYO



October 2020

Unintended Consequences of the Law

The need for urgent reform of court reporting in child fatality prosecutions

The recent decision of the Court of Appeal in *DPP and E.C. and Media Outlets* [2020] *IECA 292* highlights a section of the Children Act, 2001 which urgently needs legislative amendment to cure an anomaly which has lain dormant until now.

Children Act, 2001

The essence of the application before the Court of Appeal was whether a person charged with the death a child could be identified, given the provisions of Section 252 (1) of the Children Act, 2001, the purpose of which is to protect the identity of a child who has allegedly been the victim of a crime. The need to protect the identity of a living child victim is apparent and uncontroversial. However, in the case of a deceased child, the question is whether the accused should benefit from the provision which is intended to protect the child, not the accused.

Central Criminal Court

The trial judge in the Central Criminal Court, at the urging of the DPP and on the basis of the clear wording of S.252, had made an order prohibiting the media from publishing or broadcasting details likely to lead to the identification of the deceased child. It naturally followed that the accused could not be identified. A number of media outlets appealed that ruling to the Court of Appeal. For further information on any of the issues discussed in this article please contact:



John Doyle Head of Litigation and Dispute Resolution DD: + 353 (0)1 673 1786 john.doyle@dilloneustace.ie

Court of Appeal

The Court of Appeal found that the interpretation by the Central Criminal Court of S.252 was correct and dismissed the appeal, stating:

"...the language of the statute is clear and unequivocal and, enjoying a presumption of constitutionality as it does, must be given effect to. If change is required and if it is desired to return to previous practice where it was possible to report cases involving the deaths of children, then it is a matter requiring intervention by the Oireachtas"

Commentary

There can be little, if any, dispute as to the correctness of the Court of Appeal's decision given the express wording of the section. However, the serious consequence of restricting media reporting in this way surely cannot have been intended by the legislature. The fact that this section does not appear to have been invoked by the DPP or an accused to date supports the contention of widespread acceptance of the fact that such an accused should not be entitled to anonymity.

We all know that when tragic incidents occur resulting in the death of a child, the entire local and wider community becomes aware within hours as to the identities of the people concerned. For it to remain the case that 'the dogs in the street' would know the identities of the people and yet the media would be prohibited from reporting in such a way as to identify either the deceased child or the accused person, flies in the face of reality and represents a serious interference with the media's ability to report on proceedings which take place in open court.

It is submitted that this Section requires urgent legislative attention to remove this anomaly so that the media can do their important work, in the public interest, without fear of finding themselves facing contempt proceedings should details be published which, when pieced together, could be said to identify the unfortunate deceased child.

Dillon Eustace

DILLON 🗖 EUSTACE

Dublin

33 Sir John Rogerson's Quay, Dublin 2, Ireland. Tel: +353 1 667 0022 Fax: +353 1 667 0042.

Cayman Islands

Landmark Square, West Bay Road, PO Box 775, Grand Cayman KY1-9006, Cayman Islands. Tel: +1 345 949 0022 Fax: +1 345 945 0042.

New York

Tower 49, 12 East 49th Street, New York, NY 10017, U.S.A. Tel: +1 646 770 6080.

Tokyo

12th Floor, Yurakucho Itocia Building, 2-7-1 Yurakucho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-0006, Japan. Tel: +813 6860 4885 Fax: +813 6860 4501.

DISCLAIMER:

This document is for information purposes only and does not purport to represent legal advice. If you have any queries or would like further information relating to any of the above matters, please refer to the contacts above or your usual contact in Dillon Eustace.

Copyright Notice: © 2020 Dillon Eustace. All rights reserved.